REPORT

EVIDENCE OF MISCONDUCT
by
OFFICIALS OF THE STATE OF MAINE

in connection with the

INVESTIGATION AND PROSECUTION of DENNIS DECHAINE

for the 1988 murder of SARAH CHERRY

THESE ARE THE ACTS

REGARDING WHICH

THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S

COMMISSION CONDUCTED A REVIEW

MMVIII

JAMES P. MOORE POST OFFICE BOX 1032, BRUNSWICK, ME 04011

January, 2008

Attorney General Rowe handpicked three attorneys to investigate allegations of official misconduct during the investigation and prosecution of Dennis Dechaine. Two years later, those three lawyers reported, "we find that none of the allegations . . . have any substantive merit."

They offered no evidence to support their conclusion.

This report contains the evidence of misconduct.

Allegation, as stated by Attorney General Rowe to his Commission: Following their investigation, law enforcement officers altered their notes and/or reports to falsely attribute incriminating statements to Denis [sic] Dechaine.

Evidence Regarding Detective Westrum

Westrum's trial testimony (transcript page 830 and 831):

Page 830:

WESTRUM: . . . He said: Mark, I went home and told my wife that I did something bad and she just laughed at me.

O: (by Prosecutor Wright) What else did he say?

A: May I refer to my notes?

Q: If you think it would help you to get it exactly right.

THE COURT: Yes

Page 831:

WESTRUM: I let him walk around the room again without saying anything, he went back and sat down in his chair or the chair he was sitting in. At that point he looked up at me and he said --

Q: (by Prosecutor Wright): Read your notes.

A: I didn't think it actually happened until I saw her face on the news and then it all came back to me. I remembered it. He started to cry again, and he said Why did I kill her?

DISCREPANCIES — from Det. Westrum's contemporaneous notes which were concealed by the State until the Legislature ordered the AG to open their file:

- #1 Det. Westrum's notes quoted Dechaine as making this normal protestation of innocence: "How could I kill her" At some later time, Det. Westrum crossed out "How could," and altered the notes into the incriminating phrase, "Why did I kill her"
- #2 Det. Westrum's contemporaneous notes quote Dechaine as saying, "I told my wife something bad had happened." [NOTE: Interrogation by police about the abduction of a little girl qualifies as "something bad had happened." It's an innocent statement.]

However, in his trial testimony, upon being instructed to "read your notes," Westrum claimed Dechaine had said, "I told my wife I had done something bad."

Westrum's switch of Dechaine's words from "something bad had happened" to, "I did something bad" transforms an innocent comment into an incriminating admission. See transcript of Westrum's testimony on pages 8 and 9. See photocopy of Westrum's notes on page 10.

[NOTE; Dechaine signed no statement; none was ever recorded.]

Allegation, as stated by Attorney General Rowe to his Commission:

Following their investigation, law enforcement officers altered their notes and/or reports to falsely attribute incriminating statements to Dennis Dechaine.

Evidence Regarding Detective Hendsbee

Hendsbee's trial testimony (transcript page 799 and 800):

Page 799:

Hendsbee: The time was 1:57 in the afternoon. . . Dennis stated that I know what you are here for. I can't believe I could do such a thing. It's not -

O: (by Prosecutor Wright) If you don't remember, please refer to your notes.

A: He stated, I can't believe that I could do such a thing. The real me is not like that. It must be somebody else inside of me. At that time I hadn't even gotten out of my car.

Q: It must be somebody else inside of me that -

A: Who is doing this.

Q: You hadn't said that. It must be somebody else inside of me doing this?

A: Yes. At that time I hadn't gotten out of my car. I

Page 800

asked Dennis to step away from my door so I could get out of my car, at which time he did. But he kept saying that there has got to be somebody else inside of me doing this. I couldn't have done such a thing.

DISCREPANCIES from Det. Hendsbee's contemporaneous notes, withheld by the State even after ordered by the Legislature to open this file, and concealed for 16 years until an appeal was filed to the Supreme Court of the State of Maine in 2005:

Det. Hendsbee's contemporaneous notes for the event described in testimony:

1357 hrs

Dennis – I can't believe this guy I know would do anything like that – I heard the bad knews [sic] I can't believe that I could do such a thing – the real me is not like that – I know what your [sic] here for Do what you got to do –

Pete [Lt. Peter McCarthy] interrupted with Miranda - wanted a lawyer.

Hendsbee's contemporaneous notes do not contain the incriminating statement "there has got to be somebody else inside of me doing this" which he testified he was reading from those notes.

A transcript of Hendsbee's testimony may be seen on page 11 and 12. A copy of Hendsbee's contemporaneous notes may be seen on page 13

[NOTE: Some Maine media, based on the testimony of Dets. Hendsbee and Westrum, informed readers and viewers that Dechaine had "confessed" to these crimes. Many Mainers still retain an impression that Dennis Dechaine confessed to these officers.]

Allegation, as stated by Attorney General Rowe to his Commission:

Prosecutors misled the jury with respect to Sarah Cherry's time of death.

Rather than properly answering the blank on the autopsy form which requires "Date and Time of Death." Dr. Roy wrote simply, "Found 7/8/88." (See form, Page 14.)

Neither the complete autopsy report, nor anything else furnished to the defense or anyone else prior to Dr. Roy's testimony, gave any indication regarding time of death.

At trial, Medical Examiner Ronald Roy testified to conclusions concerning time of death. Based on his own observations regarding rigor mortis, decomposition, and progress of digestion, plus photos taken of the body during autopsy, his conclusion is contradicted by experienced pathologists and leading textbooks on forensic pathology. This evidence is extensively detailed in Human Sacrifice.

Dr. Roy testified that he was Chief Medical Examiner. In fact, Dr. Henry Ryan was the chief medical examiner.

Dr. Roy testified that he was a forensic pathologist. In fact, while he claimed training in anatomical and clinical pathology, nothing in the trial record or in Dr. Roy's official personnel file shows any training or education in the specialty of forensic pathology.*

Dr. Roy's report and testimony do show that he failed to attempt the routine, elementary procedures employed by experienced forensic pathologists in cases of this nature: recording the ambient temperature and body temperature; and potassium testing of eye fluid. Further, while the species and state of maturity of fly larvae can aid in establishing time of death, Dr. Roy discarded the fly larvae which were found on the victim without any effort to identify their species, or making any examination of them whatsoever.

* Forensic pathology is a subspecialty of medicine that studies the causes of human death. A forensic pathologist is a physician who has received special training in pathology and forensic pathology. This training process lasts for up to six years after graduation from medical school. After completion of the training period, physicians can sit for examinations offered by the American Board of Pathology. If a passing grade is achieved on the examination, the physician is considered board certified.

(FORENSIC MEDICAL MANAGEMENT SERVICES, PLC.)

Allegation, as stated by Attorney General Rowe to his Commission:
At the time of the trial, prosecutors and law enforcement
officers had information about an alternative suspect which they
should have shared, but did not share, with defense counsel.

Reports filed by Detectives Hendsbee and Drake show that these officers followed two sets of footprints -- small bare footprints and large footprints -- down the Fickett Road (½ mile from where Sarah Cherry, who was known to be barefoot, had vanished the day before) to "a trailer by the name of Fickett." Hendsbee's report states that he told Drake, "We'll have the wardens look into this tomorrow."

(NOTE: If the footprints were considered unimportant, why have anyone check on them the next day?)

Neither detective made any effort to determine whether anyone was inside this trailer. They didn't even knock on this door, even though officers canvassed every other house in the area seeking witnesses to anything that might aid them. (See Hendsbee and Drake reports, pages 15 - 16.) #1 The state kept secret the fact that, only 25 days before Sarah Cherry's disappearance, during an ongoing investigation, Detective Hendsbee took a detailed statement from a 12-year-old girl who stated that the resident of that trailer, Jason Fickett, an adult, had oral sex and intercourse with her. (Hendsbee's 6/11/88 report, page 17.)

Fickett was eventually convicted of that and other sex crimes against children. This information was kept secret by the State until I discovered Hendsbee's inquiry, several years later. (See Fickett's criminal record, pages 18-20.)

These facts prove that on the day after Sarah Cherry vanished, the day before her body was found, detectives had focused their suspicion and investigation solely on Dennis Dechaine -- excluding the possibility of any other suspect.

Jason Fickett was never questioned about this case. #2 The name of Douglas Senecal was given to detectives as a suspect by Sarah Cherry's step-father on the day Sarah vanished. Senecal, at that time, was facing indictment for sexually abusing a minor step-daughter. The Senecal family shipped that daughter to California until, with no witness, the state dismissed the sex charges against Mr. Senecal.

Mr. Senecal has offered several alibis for the time in question; each was disputed by the witnesses he offered.

Mr. Senecal was never interrogated by police. Neither he, his home or his vehicle were ever searched. NOTE: Mr. Senecal still refuses to submit his DNA for comparison with the unidentified mystery DNA in the blood under Sarah Cherry's nails. Allegation, as stated by Attorney General Rowe to his Commission: In 1992, law enforcement officers, with the approval of prosecutors, inappropriately destroyed physical evidence including a rape kit as well as hairs and fibers discovered at the scene where Sarah Cherry's body was found.

Dechaine filed a Motion For A New Trial on May 5, 1992.

Before that motion could be heard, on June 18, 1992, pursuant to an order by Deputy Attorney General Fernand LaRochelle, biological evidence containing potential DNA evidence (vaginal swabs, vaginal aspirate, and neveridentified hairs found on the victim's body) were incinerated. (See Order, page 21.)

The mystery DNA of a male person found under the victim's thumbnails (preserved only because defense counsel Thomas Connolly had previously recovered them) was, although partially degraded, sufficient to exclude Dennis Dechaine as the donor. Also excluded: Sarah Cherry's family members, officers who handled the body, and medical examiner personnel who handled the body.

The biological evidence incinerated by the State, were it still available, might have been sufficient to positively identify the donor of the DNA, i.e. the man Sarah Cherry scratched while he tortured and strangled her.

Were it not for the fact that Dechaine's <u>pre-trial</u> request for DNA tests was opposed by the State and denied by the Court, all of these DNA samples would certainly have been fresh enough to positively identify Sarah Cherry's killer.

Allegation, as stated by Attorney General Rowe to his Commission:

Prosecutors inappropriately failed to notify the court and defense counsel of a consultant's opinion regarding the reliability of an outside laboratory and DNA tests conducted in 1993.

This allegation, acknowledged by AG Rowe's commission, was considered (by them) to be of dubious importance despite the fact that this expert certified the reliability of the DNA tests eliminating Dechaine as a suspect.

Other Allegations

State Secrets and the 2nd edition of Human Sacrifice document numerous additional acts of misconduct by police and members of the Attorney General's staff -- acts which the AG did not ask his commission to investigate.

Among the improprieties by prosecutor Eric Wright: telling Dechaine's jury falsely that, "there is no evidence . . . in this case of an alternative perpetrator" (See page 22, transcript of Prosecutor Wright's closing statement to jury); and stating falsely to two state legislators and four other persons that Dechaine had confessed guilt to the state psychologists "and we have it on videotape." (See statement of witnesses to this event, page 23.)

That videotape, made available for viewing by order of the Maine legislature, may be viewed at the Office of the Attorney General.

It contains nothing remotely resembling a confession.

Every allegation of misconduct is supported by hard evidence – police reports, documents from the files of the Attorney General, and court records.

The AG's commission's opinion of the allegations insinuates the existence of facts which they fight to keep it secret. Why conceal facts that vindicate the accused officials? Why would they hide evidence that makes officials look good?

DECHAINE'S SUPPORTERS URGE ONLY THAT HE HAVE A TRIAL WHERE JURORS HEAR <u>ALL</u> THE EVIDENCE.

THAT REALLY DOESN'T SEEM LIKE MUCH TO ASK.

1 A After we cleaned up we went back into the booking room 2 and both of us sat down. How much time passed before anything else occurred? 3 I would say another two to three minutes. He sat down in a chair and I sat down. Then he said to me: Oh my God; it 5 should have never happened. He said: Why did I do this? At 6 this time he again started to sob and he cried again 7 somewhat. And then he said to me: I'm sorry, I forgot your . 8 name. I reminded him that my name was Mark. And then he was 9 10 silent. He said: Mark, I went home and told my wife that I 11 did something bad and she just laughed at me. 12 What else did he say? 13 Can I refer to my notes? 14 If you think it would help you to get it exactly right. 15 THE COURT: Yes. 16 17 BY MR. WRIGHTS 18 With that helping you to get it exactly, tell us what 19 other comments he had to say? 26 After he made that comment to me, he said: I told her I 21 wouldn't kill myself; besides that's the easy way out. Again 22 he sobbed somewhat. I offered him a cigarette which he 23 smoked for several minutes. At that time when he was finished smoking his cigarette he said to me: Mark, please 24

believe me, something inside must have made me do that.

1 . .

50

1524

1

. . .

61

0

25

9

1

Please believe me. He repeated that please believe me.

2 3

remain silent and he didn't have to speak to me at all. He

At that time I told Mr. Dechaine he had every right to

sat with his head down and he said; I know, Again I said I

5

wasn't there or in the room to make him talk and he didn't

6 have to.

7

He was silent for a moment then he said to me: I knew

8 9 they were coming after me. I was waiting. He said: It was something inside that must have made me do that. Again he

said: I can only look forward; that's all I have left, Then

16 11

he was saying: Why would I do this? At that point I said I

12

can't answer that question. I don't know. He's emotional at

13

this point. He walked around the romm and kept saying: Why?

14

Why? I let him walk around the room again without saying

15

anything. He went back and sat down in his chair or the

16

chair he was sitting in. At that point he looked up at me

17

and he said - -

Read your notes.

18 19

I didn't think it actually happened until I saw her face

20

on the news; then it all came back to me. I remembered it.

21

He started to cry again, and he said: Why did I kill her? At that point he cried and he was shaking his head back and

22 23

€

forth. He was clasping his hands together very tightly. He

24

saids What punishment could they ever give me that would

25

equal what I've done. At that point he started to cry very

That to Bang to Bak
I want to Bang to Bak
I that so had for Her Must be Mutanthally Feel

I that so had I kill her

I down that the the actually happend intil I saw
her face on the news and then it are

Care back I remember it are now.

I want home and told on hishe sorethy had lad
happened she just lauphed at me.

I highed of I had now york on that road that down instead

Sonothy in the me must have make me stor that.

1

THE COURT: 68 is also admitted.

3

4

5

7

8

16

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

: 19

21

23

24

25

BY MR. WRIGHT:

- Now, before we talk about how you conducted the search, could you tell the jury, please, what time you arrived at Mr. Dechaine's residence and what happened, with some precision, what happened when you arrived on Priday afternoon at the defendant's residence?
- A The time was 1:57 in the afternoon. I pulled into Dennis Dechaine's residence and Dennis and his wife Nancy were sitting on the front porch. When I pulled in, Dennis came down off the porch towards my car, and at a rapid pace. His wife was following him. Dennis stated that I know what you are here for. I can't believe I could do such a thing. It's not --
- Q If you don't remember please refer to your notes.
- A He stated: I can't believe that I could do such a thing.

 The real me is not like that. I know me. I couldn't do

 anything like that. It must be somebody else inside of me.
- 26 At that time I hadn't even gotten out of my car.
 - Q It must have been somebody else inside of me --
- 22 A Who is doing this.
 - Q You hadn't said that. It must be somebody else inside of me doing this?
 - A Yes. At that time I hadn't gotten out of my car. I

asked Dennis to step away from my door so I could get out of my car, at which time he did. But he kept saying that there has got to be somebody else inside of me doing this. I couldn't have done such a thing.

When I got out of my car Dennis stated: do what you have to do. At that time I told Dennis I was there just to serve a search warrant. He said do what you've got to do. I just can't believe I could do that.

- Q You then conducted your search that went on for some period of time?
- A No. At that time I went in the house with Dennis and Nancy and also arrived there with me was the Peter McCarthy. It was very difficult for me to do the search because I couldn't secure one area and leave them in another area because things could change. I had to get some assistance in doing the search. So I basically stayed with Dennis and Nancy.
- O Did you make any requests of them or have any conversation with them regarding how the search would be conducted?
- A I told them that the items on the search warrant were so small and the house was so big that I didn't know where to begin the search. And he asked if he could be of any assistance. Basically I asked for his sneakers and where the dirty clothes were. I was told at that time that the dirty

1357 ha 972 Nake 1517 1232 1244 Start search See their detall

STATE OF MAINE

CASE# ML 88-568

REPORT OF INQUIRY AND EXAMINATION BY MEDICAL EXAMINER

DECEDENT	SAUAH CHERNY AGE: / SEX: F RACE: W
ADDRESS	MEADOW RO BOWDOIN MWSD:
NOTIFIED BY	F. LA MOCHELLE DATE AND TIME: A. G. Office. 7/8 1
POLICE INVE	STIGATOR: MSP DEPT.
INFORMANT:	Write None if Applicable Including Relationship to Deceased
	HSP
PLACE OF DEATH	: WOODS - NALLOWELL RO BOW DOIN
SCENE VISIT: (DA	TE AND TIME OR NONE)
PLACE BODY EXA	MINED: SCANG all KUME DATE AND TIME: 2309M; 340PM
IMMEDIATE	
DUE TO, OR AS A	CONSEQUENCE OF:
(b) /	CONSEQUENCE OF: MULTIPLE STAB WOUNDS OF NIGHT AND PHOST. CONSEQUENCE OF:
7	CONSEQUENCE OF:
OTHER SIG	INIFICANT CONDITIONS:
ACCIDENT, SUICI	
	LACE OF INJURY LOCATION CON STRANGERO BY ASSALLANT
NO P	WOODS OFF HALLOWELL RO BOTH DOLL
DATE AND TIME	OF DEATH: FOUND 7/8/88
	FOUND: 7/8/88 - APPROX NOON LAST SEEN ALIVE: 7/6/88
ALITOPRY ALITH	
AUTOPSY AUTH	ORIZED BY: 2 Ray (Name and Title) PATHOLOGIST: 220
BODY RELEASE	DTO:
OXICOLOGY OF	OTHER STUDIES PENDING:
hereby declare that	the information contained herein regarding this death is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief, as is lined on the death certificate if signed by me.
8/16/88	
Date	SpeanALICC County of Death Signature of Medical Examiner
ped or Printed Name	of Medical Examiner:Ronald P. Roy, M.D. (203)
DIGINAL TO DE	EII ED WITH OUTE 1 (203)

CONTINUATION REPORT

MAINE STATE POLICE

Case No. LC 88-00203

Sagadahoc Sheriff's Office	Det. ALFRED HENDSBEE, mc
Homicide (SARAH CHERRY)	TOWN BOWdoin COUNTY Sagadahoc CASE CA CER CHIEF COUNTY CO
7/7/8	STATUS
CONTINUED:	
just found a white appr pole off from the side	command post and advised he had coximately three foot high fishing of the Lewis Hill Road.
approximately two tenth the Lewis Hill Road. I described as an orange	d at a small dump that is located s of a mile from the Command Postup photographed the fishing pole and white, approximately three foot line was in the pole; however, was eyelets. The pole was leaning

against a tree off the side of a dump approximately 50

feet from the roadway. It was unknown if it was part of the dump or whether someone had placed it there purposefully to hide it. I took photos of the pole and retained it to be examined by the Lab.

1920 - Det. DRAKE and I were at a private driveway leading to a FICKETT's trailer. This private drive in the upper half of the driveway which is located approximately a mile into the woods, a party had observed bare footprints in the roadway. Det. DRAKE and I examined the footprints and found that there was a small sized barefooted footprint as well as a larger sized barefooted footprint leading from the roadway into the FICKETT trailer. These were believed to not belong to the case as there were two different sized footprints and believed belonging to the people owning the FICKETT trailer.

- 1950 - I interviewed a LARRY YOUNG. See attached Summary of

25 1

- 2020 - I cleared the C P.

2140 - I called Wdn. BILL ALLEN in reference to the information received from LARRY YOUNG in reference to the footprints as well as material. Wdn. ALLEN stated he would take care of it in the A.M. Wdn. ALLEN also advised that throughout the night, there would be some warden scent dogs used to travel the various roads in the area to see if they can pick up a scent of SARAH.

Page 10

CONTINUATION REPORT

THEFLAIRANY - LAST NAME, FIRST, MIDDLE

Case No. LC 88-00203

_	 	
oss File		

	MAINE	STATE	POLICE	Cross File	Cross File	
NATION REPORT	TANZ KET 12			RBB	1153	
Sagadahoc			Det. STEVEN		2.2.	
en or examillomicide	(SARAH CHERRY)	·	PETER OL DECLIMATACE	Bowdoin	Sagadahoc	

CASE

CONTINUED:

- 1924 I spoke to DEBBIE CROSMAN who advised there were no fingerprints taken at the school but would get a hairbrush for me so we could have a sample of SARAH's hair.
- 1938 Det. HENDSBEE and I checked the footprints on the Fickett Road and tracked them to a trailer by the name of FICKETT. The tracks were barefoot but showed two--different sizes going right into this trailer.

It should also be noted that one of the searchers advised -- that off one of the side roads which he marked with a big wooden arrow that he made from logs, they found a mud hole --- which had some barefoot footprints, a shirt and a chain and looked like some broken glass. Someone may have been four wheeling and hit a tree and the tree had a scar on it. Det. HENDSBEE advised he would advise the wardens about this location in the morning.

and the second s 2041 - I interviewed RICHARD DUNBAR in my vehicle. See attached Summary of Interview.

7/8/88

- 0532 I received a wakeup call from Augusta as I requested. The officer advised that the Triple I check had been started · yesterday.
- 0652 I arrived at the C P in Bowdoinham and was advised to check on the babysitter for the HENKELS and who it was and to see if DENNIS DECHAINE had any tie in with that babysitter, to finish the neighborhood on the Dead River Road and to check with the Farmer's Market where DENNIS DECHAINE had his stand. It was also suggested that we check on Washington state and Oregon for DENNIS DECHAINE's background and the Madawaska area.
 - 0744 I received from CHRIS CROSMAN, dob 8/3/49 of RFD 2, Box 79, Topsham, Meadow Rd., Bowdoin, 666-3477, the following items:

One red hairbrush belonging to SARAH CHERRY

One sheet from SARAH's bed. It was advised that she slept with a sheet Monday and Tuesday night

OF INTERVIEW

MAINE STATE POLICE

IDENTITIES of VICTIM and her brothers excised

· Victims dated birthe

Idate of interview

advised that the first time JASON had Deer Run Estates in Palmyra. Stated went to the estates to remove some personal residence to bring to Woolwich. After the car was packe to go into the house to see if there was anyt else that needed to be taken with them. When the house, JASON followed her, shut the door, and told that he needed her. At this time he started to undress her. screamed but due to the remoteness of the house, no one could hereher. JASON then forced her down onto the couch, took his penis, and penetrated her. After awhile JASON removed his penis from her and ejaculated into his hand. He told top not to tell anyone or someone might get hurt.

stated that the next time they had sexual intercourse was at the boat house, which is the residence they live in now in Woolwich. JASON would make her two younger brothers, , stay upstairs while he would take and onto the water bed in a bedroom downstairs and have sex with her. JASON would spit on his hand and apply it to her vagina and then penetrate her. Each time he would remove his penis from her vagina and ejaculate_into_his_hand.

stated that these sexual incidents happened at least once a week during April and then in May JASON would do this same act on Menday, Wednesday, and Friday. JASON would also fondle her buttocks as well as make her perform oral sex on him. JASON would not ejaculate in her mouth or vagina, always in his hand. stated that JASON always tells her that he loves her and needs her while he's having sex with her. stated that she did not dare say anything due to the fact that JASON beats her mother and the younger boys, and and war work

stated that when she would get up in the morning that she would get completely dressed upstairs as quickly as possible in hopes that JASON would not bother her but every time JASON wanted sex he would send the two boys upstairs and tell and down to make coffee or breakfast for the boys. He would then take her into the bedroom and have sex with her. that her brothers became suspicious due to the fact that when they came downstairs for breakfast, all the would have was a light breakfast like toast or dry cereal and they didn't understand what was going on.

advised that she just started having her periods about two months ago.

State Bureau of Identification

Name and Residence as Listed in SBI Files:

Place of Birth:

Maine State Police Augusta, Maine

USE OF, ACCESS TO AND THE TRANSMISSION OF INFORMATION CONTAINED

IN THIS SBI RECORD IS REGULATED BY LAW.

JASON EDGAR FICKETT BRUNSWICK, MAINE

Date of Birth:

09-01-61 ALSO USES 09-01-64

BRUNSWICK, MAINE

APR 2 1 2000

CONTRIBUTOR C		NAME AND CASE NUMBER	DATE ARRESTED	OFFENSE(S) CHARGED AND DATE	DISPOSITION AND DATE
*DISTRICT COURT SKOWHEGAN, MAINE	×	JASON E. FICKETT DKT.# 85-5102	PD FAIRFIELD, MAINE	06-24-85 NEGOTIATING A WORTHLESS INSTRUMENT 17-A MRSA §708	01-29-86 \$100.00 FINE.
*SUPERIOR COURT OWHEGAN, MAINE		JASON FICKETT DKT.# CR-88-719	MAINE STATE POLICE	09-25-86 & 09-01-87 1. & 2. RAPE 17-A MRSA §252	07-25-89 10 YEARS DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, CONSECUTIVE TO SENTENCE NOW BEING SERVED, ALL SUSPENDED. 6 YEARS PROBATION.
	38				
*SUPERIOR COURT BATH, MAINE		JASON E. FICKETT DKT.# CR-88-213	12	BETWEEN 04-15-88 AND 06-10-88 GROSS SEXUAL MISCONDUCT CLASS A 17-A MRSA §253(1)(B)	03-31-1989 10 YEARS DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, ALL BUT 6 YEARS SUSPENDED, 6 YEARS PROBATION, TIME SERVED AT MAINE
	record which i	tood that, owing to the fact the second that, S B I number or police must flow you, this buseau cannot be dearthy of this second flow in order in the second to the second that it is not that it i			STATE PRISON. 02-14-1994 PROBATION VIOLATION 120 DAYS WITH CONDITIONS ADDED.

^{*}REPRESENTS NOTATIONS UNSUPPORTED BY FINGERPRINTS IN STATE BUREAU OF IDENTIFICATION FILES

SBI record sheets do not include the following past or present offenses: Title 29 M.R.S.A. motor vehicle offenses (contact the Secretary of State Motor Vehicle Division for this information); Title 12 M.R.S.A. marine resources offenses (contact the Department of Marine Resources for this information); and Title 12 M.R.S.A. inland fish and wildlife offenses (contact the Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife for this information), Further, 581 record sheets do not include certain unrelated offenses reflecting conduct no longer treated in Maine as criminal. A list of such latter offenses is

CONTRIBUTOR OF FINGERPRINTS	NAME AND CASE NO.	'SO SAGADAHOC COUNTY, MAINE	OFFENS(S) CHARGED AND DATE	DISPOSITION AND DATE
NE CORRECTIONAL CENTER WINDHA, MAINE	JASON EDGAR FICKETT #4389		BETWEEN 04-15-88 AND 06-10-88 1. GROSS SEXUAL MISCONDUCT, CLASS A 17-A MRSA §253 08-11-95 2.UNLAWFUL TRAFFICKING IN SCHEDULED DRUGS CLASS B 17-A MRSA §1103 3.UNLAWFUL POSSESSION OF SCHEDULED DRUGS CLASS C	RECEIVED 11-15-95 1. REVOCATION OF PROBATION 3 YEARS AND 8 MONTHS. PROBATION TERMINATED. CONCURRENT WITH DKT# CR-95-327. DKT# CR-88-213 2. 10 YEARS, ALL BUT 6 YEARS SUSPENDED. CONCURRENT WITH DKT# CR-88-213. 3. 5 YEARS, CONCURRENT
			17-A MRSA §1107	WITH COUNT 1. DKT# CR-95-327
			142	
	or o			
,				
			7.	*
÷				
			- "	1611
•			*	
				90
				2
				4. C
			ĺ	

State of Maine

DEPARTMENT OF ATTORNEY GENERAL

MEMORANDUM

To:

LIEUTENANT RICHARD ARNOLD

From:

FERNAND LAROCHELLE, Deputy A.G.7

Date:

March 31, 1992

Subject:

EVIDENCE DISPOSAL

The following is a list of cases in which the evidence in your possession can be disposed. Money and other valuables should be returned to the owner (unless ownership is contested, e.g., Sylvia Howe) and firearms should be returned to the owner (if not a convicted criminal) or otherwise appropriately disposed of if the owner cannot be found or cannot receive the

weapon:		
DAWN DENNY and	L 87-269	Sanford
DAVID PHILLIPS	L 88-141	Phillips
VERA MORIN	L 88-163	Westfield
SARAH CHERRY	L 88-309	Bowdoin Wallet + Popes
DAVID PAYNE	L 88-329	Lewiston Wallet Allowy
THANE POWELL	 L 88-353	Bar Harbor
HARRIS STOCKMAN	L 88-487	Limington
JESSICA WOODLEY	L 88-488	OOB .
KIMBERLY SHRIVER and CHRISTOPHER GRESKA	 L 88-518	Bethel
FEDMOND ST. PETER	L 88-614	Presque Isle
√ PRISCILLA DICKERMAN	L 89-45	Cornish
√ CHARLOTTE THOMPSON	L 89-54	Winthrop
V GEFFREY HART	L 89-136	Mars Hill
GILES LANDRY/DAVID GROVER	L 89-142	Leeds Money F.P.NO
SYLVIA HOWE	L 89-151	Leeds Money FPN Augusta Money FPN
TAMBO CUAN	т. 89-167	Lyman

1 2 3

4

6 7 8

9

12

11

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

perhaps should not do that. Considering the mess in that truck, nobody can say for certain what movement of papers there might have been, both at the Henkel residence and in towing the truck to Agusta from Bowdoin.

There is no evidence, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Jury, in this case of an alternative perpetrator. There is only the sheerest of speculation. Your duty is not to decide this case on speculation but on the evidence. Dr. Roy did not say anything about the feet except that they are a moot point. It is then pointed out that by Mr. Connolly that there were other trucks, red trucks in the area; one of which, although he did not specifically mention the name, was that seen by Gary Jasper who testified. The only thing I can say about Gary Jasper is that he's hopelessly confused. What he told you was he saw a truck earlier in the afternoon on the Dead River Road which was the same truck that was later stopped on the Meadow Road in Bowdoin. You've got the radio log and what you will see is that the tag number of the red truck later stopped. James Boudin does not have the same tag number as the defendant's truck. He's just hopelessly confused.

The point in sum and substance is that Dennis Dechaine is just a gentle Bowdoinham farmer with a character flaw of drugs. That's not it at all. His character flaw is that he's a far different person than he portrays himself to be.

TRIAL AND ERROR P.O. Box 153 Madawaska, ME 04756

June 2004

William Stokes Deputy Attorney General State House Station Augusta, ME 04330

Dear Mr. Stokes:

On September 1, 1994, there was a meeting about the Dennis Deehaine case with Attorney General Mike Carpenter, Assistant Attorney General Eric Wright and Phil Dechaine, Don Deehaine, Senator Judy Paradis, Representative Doug Ahearn, his father, Dan Aheam, and Carol Waltman.

At that meeting, many aspects of the arrest, trial, conviction and imprisonment of Dennis Dechaine were discussed, but two are presented here for your consideration.

We requested several documents and at the top of the list were the handwritten notes of Mark Westnim, which he referenced at the trial. We were told by EricWright that those notes were "unavailable". [As we now know, with the August, 2003 opening of the files to the public, those notes were faxed by Mark Westrum to Eric Wright on the same day. In those notes. Mark Westnim recorded "How could I kill her?" with the words "How could" crossed out and replaced with "Why did".]

Toward the close of the meeting, we were told by Eric Wright that Dennis had confessed to the state psychologists and that the confession was recorded on videotape. Judy Paradis's strong recollection is that Eric Wright made that statement while looking directly into her eyes. After this shocking revelation, the meeting adjourned with Dennis' supporters and brother severely shaken by this seemingly authoritative representation by Eric Wright. [To our current understanding, no statements by Dennis on the tape, also made available in August, 2003, of his interviews with the psychologists come close to a confession.] When asked for a copy of the tape, Eric Wright replied, "Ask the defense lawyer for one".

We affirm by our si	gnatures below the truths sta	ted above.
Sincerely	a Don Dichau	ne Philo Ochanie
Doug Anears	Don Dechaine	Phil Dechaine
Judy Faradi	Dan Cheure	and wateran
Judy Paradis	Dan Ahearne	
V		